

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,667	11/26/2003	Kurt M. Vanden Bussche	106120-1	8785
23490 7	23490 7590 07/11/2006		EXAMINER	
JOHN G TOLOMEI, PATENT DEPARTMENT			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
UOP LLC 25 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 5017			1754	
DES PLAINES, IL 60017-5017			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		(` `				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Asticus Communication	10/723,667	VANDEN BUSSCHE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wayne Langel	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala atia a na avvisa sa ant					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/ar		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, ,,	ed.				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-13-04 and 11-26-03	6) Other:	,				

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over British 909,865 in view of either Nystrom et al '852 or Bertsch-Frank et al. British '865 discloses a process for mixing fluids wherein one fluid enters the mixing device radially and another enters tangentially, and teaches on page 1, lines 15-19 that the process may be used for carrying out chemical reactions. The difference between the process disclosed by British '865, and that recited in applicants' claims, is that applicants' claims require a catalyst, and claim 3 requires that the feed streams comprise hydrogen and oxygen, respectively. Nystrom et al '852 and Bertsch-Frank et al both disclose the production of hydrogen peroxide by reacting hydrogen and oxygen in the presence of a catalyst. It would be obvious from either Nystrom et al '852 or Bertsch-Frank et al to employ the process of British '865 for reacting hydrogen and oxygen in the presence of a catalyst, since British '865 teaches that the process may be used for carrying out chemical reactions, and the processes of Nystrom et al '852 and Bertsch-Frank et al both imply that mixing of the hydrogen and oxygen reactants is desirable.

Vogel et al is made of record for disclosing the mixing of fluids wherein one fluid is introduced tangentially and the other radially.

Niedner et al, Bedetti et al and Bossler, III et al are made of record for disclosing various methods for mixing reactants.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,667 Page 3

Art Unit: 1754

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1754